

# INTERNATIONAL GLIDING COMMISSION (IGC) - PROPOSAL FORM

Submit the proposal via email to IGC Secretary.

Date: 16 January 2025

Proposal submitted by: Annex D committee

This proposal is a:

Year-1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Year-2	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other	<input type="checkbox"/>
--------	-------------------------------------	--------	--------------------------	-------	--------------------------

mark the boxes with **X** as appropriate

Type the text changes in the space below:

Annex D v2024a section 5.7 Pilot Ration Calculation:

The Pilot Rating is calculated from the best Pilot Rating Scores achieved, taking into account the Competitions Quality Factors. It is to be rounded to ~~one decimal~~ **two decimals** in each step.

Type the reasons in the space below:

In the final ranking for 2024 pilots #1 and #2 in the RL had the same Pilot Rating. According to Annex D section 5.8 Pilot Ranking: "Ties are initially broken by the highest single Pilot Rating Score. If a tie still exists the pilot with the highest Pilot Ranking on the 30<sup>th</sup> September of the preceding year will take precedence." **However, this procedure, approved by the IGC Plenary 2014, is ineffective as the highest single PRS is likely always to be 1000 points.** So also in the current case.

**Furthermore, the second-highest PRS differed with pilot #2 actually having more points than pilot #1** (996.10 vs. 995.99). If one just takes the sum of both PRS as an indication of who should win it is undeniably the case that pilot #2 has the higher figure. However, the calculation is more involved than that, as described and exemplified in Annex D v2024a section 5.7 Pilot Ration Calculation. According to the procedure the Rating Points calculated are to be rounded to **one decimal**. In the case of 2024 this made the Pilot Rating of pilot #2 been rounded down to the same number as for pilot #1, who also was the top pilot in 2023. Thus pilot #1 won despite "actually" having a lesser rating before rounding, according to 5.8 Pilot Ranking: "If a tie still exists the pilot with the highest Pilot Ranking on the 30th September of the preceding year will take precedence."

The difference in the rounding of Pilot Rating Score vs. Pilot Rating is arbitrary and in this case created an unfair outcome. There is no rational argument for why Pilot Rating should be rounded to only one decimal, which increases the likelihood of ties throughout the whole Ranking List. Rounding the Pilot Rating to two decimals, just like the Pilot Rating Scores obtained from Competition Score, is less arbitrary than the present rule.

Provide supporting data or reference to external documents for the proposed technical amendments in the space below:

Pilot #1: [https://rankingdata.fai.org/SGP\\_displaypilotdets.php?pilotid=491](https://rankingdata.fai.org/SGP_displaypilotdets.php?pilotid=491)

Pilot #2: [https://rankingdata.fai.org/SGP\\_displaypilotdets.php?pilotid=7203](https://rankingdata.fai.org/SGP_displaypilotdets.php?pilotid=7203)

The proposal should be applicable from: immediately

Sporting Code Volume: Annex D

Version/Edition: 2024a

Heading of section: Computation of Competition Rating and Pilot Rating

Number & heading of the paragraph: 5.7 Pilot Rating Calculation

Page number(s) if appropriate: 16

**See the next page!**

**Approved Amendment (if applicable):**

**Final Wording of Proposal:**

Overall Votes Cast:  For:  Against:  Abstain:

ADOPTED: Yes:  No: