

# INTERNATIONAL GLIDING COMMISSION (IGC) - PROPOSAL FORM

Submit the proposal via email to IGC Secretary.

Date: 16 January 2025

Proposal submitted by: Annex D committee

This proposal is a:

Year-1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Year-2	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other	<input type="checkbox"/>
--------	-------------------------------------	--------	--------------------------	-------	--------------------------

mark the boxes with ✕ as appropriate

Type the text changes in the space below:

Annex D v2024a section 5.8 Pilot Ranking:

Ties are initially broken by the **following procedure** ~~highest single Pilot Rating Score. If a tie still exists the pilot with the highest Pilot Ranking on the 30th September of the preceding year will take precedence.~~

(This new tie-breaking procedure is to be developed in 2025 and presented together with the Year 2 proposal in 2026. It could be as simple as to base the tie-breaking on the  $n^{\text{th}}$ -highest score in increasing order of  $n$  starting with  $n=1$ , or to always reward the pilot that has the lower ranking in the previous year, or something more elaborate based on a calculation.)

Type the reasons in the space below:

In the final ranking for 2024 pilots #1 and #2 in the RL had the same Pilot Rating. According to Annex D section 5.8 Pilot Ranking: "Ties are initially broken by the highest single Pilot Rating Score. If a tie still exists the pilot with the highest Pilot Ranking on the 30<sup>th</sup> September of the preceding year will take precedence." **However, this procedure, approved by the IGC Plenary 2014, is ineffective as the highest single PRS is likely always to be 1000 points.** So also in the current case.

**Furthermore, the second-highest PRS differed with pilot #2 actually having more points than pilot #1** (996.10 vs. 995.99). If one just takes the sum of both PRS as an indication of who should win it is undeniably the case that pilot #2 has the higher figure. However, the calculation is more involved than that, as described and exemplified in Annex D v2024a section 5.7 Pilot Rating Calculation. According to the procedure the Rating Points calculated are to be rounded to **one decimal**. In the case of 2024 this made the Pilot Rating of pilot #2 been rounded down to the same number as for pilot #1, who also was the top pilot in 2023. Thus pilot #1 won despite "actually" having a lesser rating before rounding, according to 5.8 Pilot Ranking: "If a tie still exists the pilot with the highest Pilot Ranking on the 30th September of the preceding year will take precedence."

The first step of the present tie-breaking is ineffective and did not prevent an outcome that feels unfair.

Provide supporting data or reference to external documents for the proposed technical amendments in the space below:

Pilot #1: [https://rankingdata.fai.org/SGP\\_displaypilotdets.php?pilotid=491](https://rankingdata.fai.org/SGP_displaypilotdets.php?pilotid=491)

Pilot #2: [https://rankingdata.fai.org/SGP\\_displaypilotdets.php?pilotid=7203](https://rankingdata.fai.org/SGP_displaypilotdets.php?pilotid=7203)

The proposal should be applicable from: 2026 if the IGC Plenary 2026 approves immediate application of the then-Year 2 proposal, otherwise 2027

Sporting Code Volume: Annex D

Version/Edition: 2024a

Heading of section: Computation of Competition Rating and Pilot Rating

Number & heading of the paragraph: 5.8 Pilot Ranking

Page number(s) if appropriate: 17

Continue on the next page(s) if necessary

See the next page!

Approved Amendment (if applicable):

Final Wording of Proposal:

Overall Votes Cast:  For:  Against:  Abstain:

ADOPTED: Yes:  No:

*Continue on the next page(s) if necessary*