



*Fédération
Aéronautique
Internationale*



CIVA Rules Committee Report

Based on Rules & Judging Committees Joint Meetings

Agenda item 8.1

Version 1 / 1 October 2023

INTRODUCTION

The Rules and the Judging Committees jointly met on-line on 22 August 2023. By exception the meeting was not organised as a physical one, due to the low number of proposals to be discussed.

In attendance:

Rules Committee (RC):

Matthieu Roulet - Chairman (FRA), Pekka Havbrandt (SWE), Mike Heuer (USA), Philippe Kuchler (SUI), Hanspeter Rohner (SUI), Pierre Varloteaux (FRA)

Judging Committee (JC):

Pierre Varloteaux - Chairman (FRA), Madelyne Delcroix (FRA), Quintin Hawthorne (RSA), Alejandra Moore (ESP), Steve Todd (GBR)

CIVA Officers:

Nick Buckenham (President), Zuzana Danihelová (Secretary), Hanna Rähä (Secretary)

Observers:

Luca Andraghetti (ITA), Brian Gleave (GBR), Carole Holyk (CAN), Karel Krobath (AUT), Loïc Logeais (FRA), Chris Sills (GBR), Přemysl Vávra (CZE)

After the deadline of 1 July 2023 for the submission of Sporting Code “Normal Proposals”, the meeting package was assembled, and distributed by 20 July to the CIVA Bureau, RC / JC / GAC members, and to all CIVA Delegates.

In this report, we have summarized the actions taken by RC/JC Committees on the Power proposals (applicable to Section 6 Part 1). Actions on Glider proposals taken by the GAC (applicable to Section 6 Part 2) are reported in a separate Agenda report.

Those proposals submitted by Delegates which were either withdrawn or did not survive the RC / JC review are not included in this report, for the sake of brevity.

Passing the RC / JC review is the result of a consensus or majority decision by the Committees, that those proposals shall be considered by the Plenary. Please note that passing this review does not necessarily imply that the RC / JC recommend those proposals to be adopted.

Also for the sake of brevity, proposals are not reproduced in full in this report. Please refer to the CIVA “Rule Proposals for 2024” document for full details and rationales.



Matthieu Roulet
Chairman, CIVA Rules Committee
1 October 2023

NP2024-5:

Source: CZE #5
 Document: Section 6 Part 1 (originally submitted for Part 2 only)
 Subject: Junior medals

Proposal amended by RC in line with GAC (*amendments highlighted*):

In 5.1 “Titles definition”, insert the following paragraph after 5.1.1.2:

5.1.1.3 **Overall Junior Winner**: The Junior competitor who gains the highest total number of combined points in Programmes 1 to 4 (provided at least Programmes 1 and 2 are completed), regardless of gender. Junior competitors are defined as competitors reaching 26 years of age **no earlier than 1 January of the year of the championship, according to their official ID or passport.**

Amend table in 5.2.2.1 accordingly:

	Unlimited	Advanced	Intermediate
Overall Champion	Yes	Yes	Yes
Overall Junior Winner	Yes	Yes	Yes
Champion Team	Yes	Yes	Yes
Champion Final Freestyle	Yes	n.a.	n.a.

And in each award table (5.5.1.1, 5.5.3.1, 5.6.1.1, 5.6.2.1, 5.7.1.1 and 5.7.2.1) add the following row:

Titles	FAI medals	CIVA medals	Trophies	FAI Diplomas
Overall Junior Winner		Gold		Yes
Second place		Silver		Yes
Third place		Bronze		Yes

Note from RC: This proposal was submitted for Part 2, however the RC/JC determined it could be implemented in Part 1 as well. Key points are: Junior medals for all categories and on overall individual ranking only (not for teams nor for individual Programmes); clarification on Junior age limit.

NP2024-11:

Source: FRA #2
Document: Section 6 Part 1
Subject: Figures in Unlimited Unknowns

Proposal:

Modify A.17.1.4. as follows (changes in bold and underlined):

- A.17.1.4 Unlimited: From 8.6.1 to 8.6.4: Flick rolls not permitted on the top of figures in columns 1 and 2 when preceded by a vertical roll exceeding **4 stops for 180 degrees of rotation, or** 3 stops **for more than 180 degrees of rotation**, or more than 360 degrees of rotation.

NP2024-22:

Source: SWE #2
Document: Section 6 Part 1 (originally submitted for Part 2 only)
Subject: Free Unknown sequence procedure

Proposal amended by RC in line with GAC (editorials):

Have Free Unknown sequences provided by each competitor. Therefore, amend the following paragraphs accordingly (changes in bold and underlined):

- 2.3.1.5. The contest Organiser shall provide copies of the list of figures to all competing NACs. For each Programme, each ~~NAC may~~ **competitor shall** submit **his/her own sequence** to the International Jury ~~a maximum of two sequences~~, composed of these figures, **and will fly that one**. The contest Organiser will determine the deadline for submitting ~~proposed~~ sequences. Computer files must be submitted, and must contain complete pages of all five Forms: A, B, C, R and L. Acceptable file formats and responsibility of ~~submitting NACs~~ **competitors** in terms of up-to-date software are as described in rule 2.2.1.9.a).

~~2.3.1.6. Publication and Selection of Free Unknown Programmes~~

- 2.3.1.6. All ~~proposed~~ sequences received by the deadline must be checked by the International Jury, and corrected **by the competitors** if necessary.

~~2.3.1.7. The International Jury shall publish all sequences received from the NACs not later than 24 hours before the start of the Programme.~~

- ~~2.3.1.8. At least 12 hours before the commencement of each Programme, each competitor will notify the Organiser which of the proposed sequences he/she will fly.~~

- 2.3.1.7 **Any competitor who does not provide a valid sequence before the announced deadline will be disqualified from the Programme.**
- 2.3.1.8. ~~Prior to the flight order and paperwork being issued to the judging line, Team Managers or individual competitors as appropriate, shall verify the correctness of the allocation of selected sequence per pilot; this verification shall be recorded by the Organiser.~~
- 2.3.1.8. At least 1 hour before the start of each Programme, the Organiser shall provide each NAC with a list of the Free Unknowns ~~chosen by~~ **from** each competing pilot.

Note from RC: This proposal was submitted for Part 2, however could be implemented in Part 1 as well. The RC/JC assessment led to the conclusion that harmonization towards a common rule for Part 1 and Part 2 is not necessary. Therefore, the proposal will be subject to separate votes for Part 1 and Part 2.

Appendix 1 – Initial list of proposals from the “Rule Proposals for 2024” document

Highlighted in Yellow: Proposals for which the GAC and the RC/JC were to aim for a common position.

CIVA#	NAC	#	Subject	Allocation
NP2024-1	CZE	1	Figures in Unlimited Unknowns	GAC
NP2024-2		2	Renaming of Programmes 1 and 3	GAC
NP2024-3		3	Known Compulsory concept	GAC
NP2024-4		4	Order of Programmes	GAC
NP2024-5		5	Advanced/ Unlimited championships concept	GAC / + RC / JC
NP2024-6		6	Removal of competitors discrimination in Adv	GAC
NP2024-7		7	Aircraft restrictions in Adv	GAC
NP2024-8		8	Figures in Unlimited Unknowns	GAC
NP2024-9		9	Rules for Unknowns	GAC
NP2024-10	FRA	1	Amendment to Aresti catalogue	CC
NP2024-11		2	Figures in Unlimited Unknowns	RC
NP2024-12		3	Box dimensions	RC / GAC
NP2024-13		4	Flick roll criteria	JC / RC / GAC
NP2024-14		5	Square loop criteria	JC / RC / GAC
NP2024-15	GER	1	Penalties for low flying	GAC
NP2024-16	ITA	1	Freestyle instead of one Unknown in Unl	GAC
NP2024-17		2	Increase of max K of Free Known in Adv	GAC
NP2024-18		3	Free Known versatility in Adv	GAC
NP2024-19		4	Free Known versatility in Adv	GAC
NP2024-20		5	Figures in Advanced Unknowns	GAC
NP2024-21	SWE	1	Figures in Advanced Unknowns	GAC
NP2024-22		2	Free Unknown sequence procedure	GAC / RC

Appendix 2 – Check-list on all items in the “Rule Proposals for 2024” document

In red what was discussed in the RC/JC

Item	Part 1 for Plenary vote (incl. with amendments)	Rejected by RC/JC or Withdrawn	Part 2 (incl. with amendments)	Other	Comment
NP 2024-1			✓		
NP 2024-2			✓		
NP 2024-3			✗		
NP 2024-4			✗		
NP 2024-5	✓		✓		
NP 2024-6			✗		
NP 2024-7			✓		
NP 2024-8			✓		
NP 2024-9			✗		
NP 2024-10					Catalogue Committee
NP 2024-11	✓				
NP 2024-12		✗	✓		Box dimensions can be dealt with as a waiver if needed (the box must remain square in any case to maintain equity from all judge positions)
NP 2024-13		✗	✗		Deemed unnecessary, may bring more questions than answers
NP 2024-14		✗	✓		Would break figure geometry logic compared to e.g. diamond loops or octagons
NP 2024-15			✗		
NP 2024-16			✗		
NP 2024-17			✗		
NP 2024-18			✓		
NP 2024-19			✗		
NP 2024-20			✗		
NP 2024-21			✗		
NP 2024-22	✓		✓		